



Genba Sopanrao Moze Trust's  
Genba Sopanrao Moze college of Engineering  
Balewadi, Pune- 411 045.

STUDENTS FEED-BACK ANALYSIS

Department:COMP

Academic Year: 2018-19

Class:SE,TE,BE

Particulars	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	5	5	4	5	5	4
2	5	5	5	5	4	5
3	5	5	4	5	4	5
4	4	5	5	4	5	4
5	5	5	4	5	5	5
6	5	5	4	4	5	5
7	5	5	5	5	5	4
8	5	5	5	5	5	5
9	4	4	5	4	4	5
10	4	5	5	4	4	4
11	5	5	5	4	4	5
12	4	4	4	4	5	5
13	5	5	5	5	5	5
14	5	5	5	5	5	4
15	4	4	4	4	5	5
16	4	5	5	4	5	5
17	5	5	5	4	4	5
18	4	4	4	4	5	5
19	5	5	5	5	5	5
20	5	5	5	5	5	4
21	4	5	5	4	5	5
22	5	5	5	4	5	4
23	5	5	5	5	5	4
24	5	5	5	5	5	5
25	4	4	5	4	4	5
26	4	5	5	4	4	4
27	5	5	5	4	4	5
28	4	4	4	4	5	5
29	5	5	5	5	5	5
30	5	5	5	5	5	4
31	4	4	4	4	5	5
32	4	5	5	4	5	5
33	5	5	5	4	4	5
34	4	4	4	4	5	5
35	5	5	5	5	5	5
36	5	5	5	5	5	4
37	4	4	4	4	5	5
38	5	5	5	5	5	5
<b>Total</b>	175	181	179	169	180	179
<b>Count</b>	22	22	22	22	22	22
<b>Average</b>	7.95	8.23	8.14	7.68	8.18	8.14

**Summary**

1. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical	-	7.95
2. Depth of the course content	-	8.23
3. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyze the engineering problems	-	8.14
4. Relevance for implementation in projects	-	7.68
5. Compatibility with industry standards	-	8.18
6. Applicability /relevance to real life situations	-	8.14

Ratings	Good-5
	Average-4
	poor-3





Genba Sopanrao Moze Trust's  
Genba Sopanrao Moze college of Engineering  
Balewadi, Pune- 411 045.

TEACHERS FEED-BACK ANALYSIS

Department: COMP

Academic Year: 2018-19

Particulars	1	2	3	4	5
1	5	5	4	5	4
2	5	5	5	4	4
3	4	4	5	5	4
4	5	5	5	4	4
5	5	4	4	5	5
6	4	4	5	5	5
7	5	5	4	5	5
8	4	4	5	5	4
9	5	5	5	4	4
10	5	4	4	5	5
11	4	4	5	5	5
<b>Total</b>	51	49	51	52	49
<b>Count</b>	7	7	7	7	7
<b>Average</b>	7.29	7.00	7.29	7.43	7.00

### Summary

1. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge,	-	7.29
2. Depth of the course content	-	7.00
3. Extent of the coverage of course	-	7.29
4. Relevance/learning value of project/report	-	7.43
5. Applicability /relevance to real life situations	-	7.00

Ratings	Good-5
	Average-4
	poor-3





Genba Sopanrao Moze Trust's  
Genba Sopanrao Moze college of Engineering  
Balewadi, Pune- 411 045.

Employers FEED-BACK ANALYSIS

Department: COMP


Academic Year: 2018-19

Particulars	1	2	3	4
1	5	5	4	4
2	5	4	5	4
3	4	4	5	4
4	5	5	4	4
5	5	5	4	5
6	4	4	5	4
7	5	5	4	5
8	4	4	5	4
9	5	5	4	4
10	5	5	4	5
11	5	5	4	5
<b>Total</b>	24	23	22	21
<b>Count</b>	5	5	5	5
<b>Average</b>	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.20

### Summary

1.Is the syllabus capable of adding Learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives) in students	-	4.80
2.Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situation	-	4.60
3.Is the syllabus sufficient enable students analyze the given problem & identify the necessary solutions	-	4.40
4.Extent of Efforts required by students	-	4.20

Rating	Good-5
	Average-4
	Poor-3





**Genba Sopanrao Moze Trust's  
Genba Sopanrao Moze college of Engineering  
Balewadi, Pune- 411 045.**

**PARENTS FEED-BACK ANALYSIS**

**Department:COMP**

**Academic Year: 2018-19**

Particulars	1	2	3	4
1	5	5	4	4
2	5	4	5	4
3	5	5	4	5
4	5	5	4	5
5	5	5	4	5
6	5	5	5	5
7	5	5	5	5
8	5	4	5	4
9	5	5	4	5
10	4	4	5	5
11	5	5	5	4
12	5	4	4	5
13	5	5	5	5
14	4	4	4	4
15	5	5	5	5
16	5	5	5	5
17	5	5	4	5
18	5	5	5	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>Count</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>4.19</b>	<b>4.05</b>	<b>3.90</b>	<b>4.05</b>

**Summary**

<b>1.Is the syllabus capable of adding Learning values</b>	-	<b>4.19</b>
<b>2.Is our syllabus compatible with the industry</b>	-	<b>4.05</b>
<b>3.Is the syllabus sufficient enable students analyze</b>	-	<b>3.90</b>
<b>4.Extent of Efforts required by students</b>	-	<b>4.05</b>

Ratings	Good-5
	Average-4
	poor-3





Genba Sopanrao Moze Trust's  
Genba Sopanrao Moze college of Engineering  
Balewadi, Pune- 411 045.

ALUMNI FEED-BACK ANALYSIS

Department: COMP

Academic Year: 2018-19

Particulars	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	5	5	5	4	4	5
2	5	5	5	4	4	4
3	5	5	5	4	4	5
4	5	5	5	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	4	4	5
6	5	5	5	4	4	4
7	5	5	5	4	4	5
8	5	5	5	4	4	4
9	5	4	5	4	5	5
10	5	5	5	5	5	4
11	4	5	4	5	4	4
12	5	5	4	5	5	4
13	5	5	4	4	4	5
14	5	5	5	4	4	4
15	5	4	5	4	5	5
16	5	5	5	5	5	4
17	5	4	4	5	5	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Count</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Average</b>	<b>9.33</b>	<b>9.11</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>8.11</b>	<b>8.22</b>	<b>8.44</b>

### Summary

1. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts,	-	9.33
2. Depth of the course content	-	9.11
3. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the	-	9.00
4. Relevance for implementation in projects	-	8.11
5. Compatibility with industry standards	-	8.22
6. Applicability /relevance to real life situations	-	8.44


Rating	Good-5
	Average-4
	Poor-3



**Department of Computer Engineering**  
**STUDENT FEEDBACK ON Facility/Ambience**  
**Academic year 18-19**


1. Computer Lab Facility

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	25%
Very Good	55%
Excellent	20%
	100%




2. ICT Classroom Facility

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	50%
Very Good	30%
Excellent	20%
	100%




3. Library Facility

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	30.00%
Very Good	50.00%
Excellent	20.00%
	100.00%




4. Drinking Water Facility

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	10.00%
Good	55.00%
Very Good	25.00%
Excellent	10.00%
	100.00%




5. Wash Room Facility

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	20.00%
Good	25.00%
Very Good	40.00%
Excellent	15.00%
	100.00%





6. Internet Facility

Below Avg	0
Satisfactory	0.05%
Good	10.00%
Very Good	50.00%
Excellent	40.00%
	100.05%



7. Cleanliness in Institute


Below Avg	0
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	60.00%
Very Good	20.00%
Excellent	20.00%
	100.00%



**Department of Computer Engineering**  
**Faculty FEEDBACK ON Facility/Ambience**  
**Academic year 18-19**


**1. Computer Lab Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	5%
Very Good	80%
Excellent	15%
	100%




**2. ICT Classroom Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	40%
Very Good	40%
Excellent	20%




**3. Library Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	10%
Very Good	50%
Excellent	40%





**4. Drinking Water Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	10%
Good	30%
Very Good	30%
Excellent	30%



**5. Wash Room Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	20%
Good	30%
Very Good	20%
Excellent	30%










### 6. Internet Facility

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	14%
Very Good	57%
Excellent	29%
	100%



### 7. Cleanliness in Institute


Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	40%
Very Good	40%
Excellent	20%
	100%



**Department of Computer Engineering**  
Employer feedback on Facility/Ambience  
**Academic year 18-19**


**1. Computer Lab Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	33%
Very Good	60%
Excellent	7%
	100%




**2. ICT Classroom Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	33%
Very Good	32%
Excellent	35%
	100%





**3. Library Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	17.00%
Very Good	33.00%
Excellent	50.00%
	100.00%




**4. Drinking Water Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	10.00%
Good	15.00%
Very Good	60.00%
Excellent	15.00%
	100.00%




**5. Wash Room Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	20.00%
Good	30.00%
Very Good	30.00%
Excellent	20.00%
	100.00%




**6. Internet Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	0.00%
Very Good	50.00%
Excellent	50.00%
	100.00%



**7. Cleanliness in Institute**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	20.00%
Very Good	70.00%
Excellent	10.00%
	100.00%




**Department of Computer Engineering**  
**Parents FEEDBACK ON Facility/Ambience**

**Academic year 18-19**


**1. Computer Lab Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	20%
Very Good	40%
Excellent	40%
	100%




**2. ICT Classroom Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	20%
Very Good	30%
Excellent	50%
	100%





**3. Library Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	30.00%
Very Good	60.00%
Excellent	10.00%
	100.00%




**4. Drinking Water Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	15.00%
Very Good	55.00%
Excellent	30.00%
	100.00%




**5. Wash Room Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	40.00%
Very Good	40.00%
Excellent	20.00%
	100.00%





**6. Internet Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	10.00%
Very Good	60.00%
Excellent	30.00%
	100.00%



**7. Cleanliness in Institute**


Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	10.00%
Very Good	80.00%
Excellent	10.00%
	100.00%



**Department of Computer Engineering**  
 Alumni feedback on Facility/Ambience  
 A Y .2018-19


**1. Computer Lab Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	20%
Good	50%
Very Good	30%
Excellent	0%
	100%




**2. ICT Classroom Facility**

Below Avg	0%
Satisfactory	0%
Good	50%
Very Good	40%
Excellent	10%
	100%




**3. Library Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	55.00%
Very Good	35.00%
Excellent	10.00%
	100.00%




**4. Drinking Water Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	40.00%
Very Good	50.00%
Excellent	10.00%
	100.00%




**5. Wash Room Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	33.00%
Very Good	67.00%
Excellent	0.00%
	100.00%



**6. Internet Facility**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	0.00%
Very Good	50.00%
Excellent	50.00%
	100.00%



**7. Cleanliness in Institute**

Below Avg	0.00%
Satisfactory	0.00%
Good	20.00%
Very Good	50.00%
Excellent	30.00%
	100.00%

